

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1			
Alexandria	. Virginia	22313-1450	
www.uspto			

APPLICATION NO.	FILING D	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/631,992	07/30/2	2003	Ronald C. Elliott	ECC-02200	2200	
28960	7590	09/17/2004		EXAMINER		
	TOCK & OWENS LLP		OLSON, LARS A			
	I WOLFE ROA LE, CA 94086			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	•			3617		
				DATE MAIL ED. 00/17/200		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$ \sim$
E 7	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/631,992	ELLIOTT ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lars A Olson	3617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	opears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re- if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a r ply within the statutory minimum of thir d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ite, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communica ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
,	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	•	· ·	sis
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 32-35 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-31,36 and 38-42 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 37 and 43 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 30 July 2003 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the B	a) accepted or b) objected or b) objected or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abeyalection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies o	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have beer au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	opplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0-Paper No(s)/Mail Date 01292004.	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claims 7 and 8 recite the limitation "The plastic storage bin" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1, 6, 9, 10 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Siebe (US 1,761,995).

Siebe discloses the same storage bin as claimed, as shown in Figures 1-5, that is comprised of a container, defined as Part A, with a chamber for storing, a label holder, defined as Part #1, that is configured to rotatably and detachably couple with said container, as shown in Figures 1-3, and configured to hold and allow insertion and

Application/Control Number: 10/631,992

Art Unit: 3617

removal of a label, defined as Part #5, and a label securing structure, defined as Part #9, that is configured to detachably couple said label holder to said container.

6. Claims 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hartman (US 2,908,985).

Hartman discloses the same plastic storage bin as claimed, as shown in Figures 1-7, that is comprised of a hollow body with front and rear ends, as shown in Figures 1 and 2, and a first securing structure, defined as Part #8, on said front end, as shown in Figure 2, and a label holder, defined as Part #10, with a second securing structure, defined as Part #11, where said first and second securing structures are configured to mate and detachably secure said label holder with said hollow body, as shown in Figure 2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 7, 8, 14-16 and 38-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Siebe.

Siebe, as set forth above, discloses all of the features claimed except for the use of a label with a size range of 3"x5" to 8.5"x11", and a label holder made from a clear material, or a semi-rigid or rigid plastic.

Art Unit: 3617

The use of a label of a specific size would be considered by one of ordinary skill in the art to be a design choice based upon the dimensions of the object on which said label is to be placed, and the desired size of the indicia to be placed on said label.

The use of a label holder made from a clear material, or a semi-rigid or rigid plastic material, would also be considered by one of ordinary skill in the art to be a design choice based upon the required strength and flexibility of said label holder, as well as the desired light transmissivity characteristics of said label holder.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to utilize a label of a specific size and a label holder made from a clear, semi-rigid or rigid plastic material in combination with the label holder as disclosed by Siebe for the purpose of providing a label holder for a storage bin that is light and flexible, and capable of supporting a label of a specific size.

9. Claims 2-5, 11, 13 and 20-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Siebe in view of Wolters et al. (US 4,373,642).

Siebe, as set forth above, discloses all of the features claimed except for the use of a container with a lid that creates a positive seal with said container, and a tab that is configured to facilitate removal of said lid from said container.

Wolters et al. discloses a storage bin, as shown in Figures 1-8, that is comprised of a rectangular-shaped container, defined as Part #10, and a lid, defined as Part #34, that is configured to mate with said container in order to create a positive seal, as shown in Figure 1. Said lid is further comprised of a tab, defined as Part #30, that is configured to facilitate removal of said lid from said container.

Application/Control Number: 10/631,992

Art Unit: 3617

The use of a label of a specific size would be considered by one of ordinary skill in the art to be a design choice based upon the dimensions of the object on which said label is to be placed, and the desired size of the indicia to be placed on said label.

The use of a container made from a specific homogenous material would also be considered by one of ordinary skill in the art to be a design choice based upon the required strength and flexibility of said container.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to utilize a container with a lid and a tab, as disclosed by Wolters et al., in combination with the storage bin and label holder as disclosed by Siebe for the purpose of providing a storage bin with a lid that both provides a secure seal with said storage bin, and is easier to remove from said storage bin.

10. Claims 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Siebe in view of Hartman.

Siebe, as set forth above, discloses all of the features claimed except for the use of a storage bin with one or more handles.

Hartman discloses a storage bin, as shown in Figures 1-7, that is comprised of a rectangular-shaped container with integrally formed inner and outer walls, as shown in Figure 1, and one or more handles, defined as Part #12, that are coupled to said container, as shown in Figures 2 and 3.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to utilize a storage bin with one or more handles, as taught by Hartman, in combination with the storage bin and label holder as disclosed by Siebe for

the purpose of providing a storage bin with handles that facilitate lifting of said storage bin.

11. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hartman in view of Siebe.

Hartman, as set forth above discloses all of the features claimed except for the use of a label holder that is configured to allow a card to be inserted and removed therefrom.

Siebe, as previously cited, discloses a storage bin that is comprised of a container, defined as Part A, a label holder, defined as Part #1, that is configured to rotatably and detachably couple with said container, as shown in Figures 1-3, and configured to hold and allow insertion and removal of a label, defined as Part #5, and a label securing structure, defined as Part #9, that is configured to detachably couple said label holder to said container.

The use of a label of a specific size would be considered by one of ordinary skill in the art to be a design choice based upon the dimensions of the object on which said label is to be placed, and the desired size of the indicia to be placed on said label.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to utilize a label holder that is configured to allow a label card to be inserted and removed therefrom, as taught by Siebe, in combination with the storage bin as disclosed by Hartman for the purpose of providing a storage bin with a label holder that is capable of removably securing a label in order to facilitate the changing of said label.

Application/Control Number: 10/631,992

Art Unit: 3617

Allowable Subject Matter

12. Claims 32-35 are allowed.

13. Claims 37 and 43 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Wolpa (US 5,992,073) discloses a container with a label holder that is adhesively secured to an outer surface of said container. Swingler (US 5,285,900) discloses a storage container with a removably securable label portion. Stein (US 4,405,057) discloses a storage container with a detachably coupled label holder. Bult, III (US 3,650,055) discloses a reversible sign that is configured to rotatably and detachably couple with a support plate. Phelps (US 3,321,855) discloses a label holder that is configured to removably secure a label card to a surface.

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Exr. Lars Olson whose telephone number is (703) 308-9807.

10

September 9, 2004

LARS A. CLECH PATENT EXAMENT

Page 7

9/9/04